

AGENDA TRANSMITTAL FORM

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Regular Agenda
<input type="checkbox"/>	Consent Agenda
<input type="checkbox"/>	Blue Slip
<input type="checkbox"/>	Closed Session
Meeting Date Requested:	
June 25, 2013	

To: **Board of Supervisors**

Date: June 14, 2013

From: Marianne Bourgeois, Foreperson, ACCGJ
(Department Head - please type)

Phone Ext. 470

Department Head Signature _____

Agenda Title: Amador County Civil Grand Jury's Final Report

Summary: (Provide detailed summary of the purpose of this item; attach additional page if necessary)

Presentation of the 2012-2013 Amador County Civil Grand Jury's Final Report to the Board of Supervisors, by Ms. Bouourgeois, Foreperson, ACCGJ.

Recommendation/Requested Action:

Fiscal Impacts (attach budget transfer form if appropriate)

Staffing Impacts

Is a 4/5ths vote required? Yes No

Contract Attached: Yes No N/A
 Resolution Attached: Yes No N/A
 Ordinance Attached: Yes No N/A

Committee Review? N/A

Name _____

Committee Recommendation: _____

Comments: _____

Request Reviewed by:

Chairman _____

Counsel CS

Auditor EDJ

GSA Director Hop

CAO AK

Risk Management Gar

Distribution Instructions: (Inter-Departmental Only, the requesting Department is responsible for distribution outside County Departments)

County Counsel _____

FOR CLERK USE ONLY

Meeting Date 6-25-13 Time _____ Item # 5

Board Action: Approved Yes ___ No ___ Unanimous Vote: Yes ___ No ___

Ayes: _____ Resolution _____ Ordinance _____ Other: _____

Noes: _____ Resolution _____ Ordinance _____

Absent: _____ Comments: _____

Distributed on _____ Completed by _____	A new ATF is required from _____ Department _____ For meeting _____ of _____	I hereby certify this is a true and correct copy of action(s) taken and entered into the official records of the Amador County Board of Supervisors. ATTEST: _____ Clerk or Deputy Board Clerk
--	---	--



Teresa Wagstaff< twagstaff@amadorgov.org>

Fwd: agenda item for 6/25

1 message

Marianne Bourgeois< marianne.accgj@gmail.com>
To: twagstaff@amadorgov.org

Thu, Jun 13, 2013 at 11:38 AM

Hello Teresa,
I received an out of office message from Jennifer Burns, so I thought I'd forward this message to you.
Please call if you have any questions.
Thank you.

- **Marianne Bourgeois, Foreperson**
Amador County Civil Grand Jury
Ph: 408-981-4633

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Marianne Bourgeois** <marianne.accgj@gmail.com>
Date: Thu, Jun 13, 2013 at 11:35 AM
Subject: agenda item for 6/25
To: Jennifer Burns <jburns@amadorgov.org>

Hi Jennifer,

I would like to have an item put on the 6/25 Board of Supervisors' agenda:

"Presentation of the 2012-2013 Amador County Civil Grand Jury's Final Report to the Board of Supervisors"

I will have one copy of the report to you by Monday 6/24 at the latest hopefully by Friday 6/21. If you need more copies, please let me know. I will be attending the Board meeting, as will some of the jury members.

There are 3 reports involving the county, and to which the Board will have to respond. I will bring these specifically to you on Friday, 6/24. But according to Judge Harlan, the report does not go public until the Board meeting on Tuesday.

Please call if you have any questions.
Thank you.

- **Marianne Bourgeois**
Foreperson, ACCGJ
Ph: 408-981-4633

AGENDA TRANSMITTAL FORM

To: **Board of Supervisors**
 Date: June 19, 2013

From: Theodore Novelli, District III
 (Department Head - please type)

Phone Ext. 470

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Regular Agenda
<input type="checkbox"/>	Consent Agenda
<input type="checkbox"/>	Blue Slip
<input type="checkbox"/>	Closed Session
Meeting Date Requested: <u>6-25-13</u>	

Department Head Signature _____

Agenda Title: Administrative Agency

Summary: (Provide detailed summary of the purpose of this item; attach additional page if necessary)
 Discussion and possible action relative to a letter of opposition relative to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service publishing to the Federal Register two proposed rules to list and designate critical habitat for three amphibians located in California.

Recommendation/Requested Action: _____

Fiscal Impacts (attach budget transfer form if appropriate) _____
 Staffing Impacts _____

Is a 4/5ths vote required? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	Contract Attached: Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> Resolution Attached: Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> Ordinance Attached: Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> Comments: _____
Committee Review? Name _____ N/A <input type="checkbox"/> Committee Recommendation: _____	

Request Reviewed by:

Chairman _____ Counsel _____
 Auditor _____ GSA Director _____
 CAO _____ Risk Management _____

Distribution Instructions: (Inter-Departmental Only, the requesting Department is responsible for distribution outside County Departments)

FOR CLERK USE ONLY

Meeting Date _____	Time _____	Item # <u>6</u>
Board Action: Approved Yes ___ No ___ Unanimous Vote: Yes ___ No ___		
Ayes: _____	Resolution _____	Ordinance _____
Noes: _____	Resolution _____	Ordinance _____
Absent: _____	Comments: _____	

Distributed on _____ Completed by _____	A new ATF is required from _____ Department _____ For meeting of _____	I hereby certify this is a true and correct copy of action(s) taken and entered into the official records of the Amador County Board of Supervisors. ATTEST: _____ Clerk or Deputy Board Clerk
--	--	--

#6

**BEFORE THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE
COUNTY OF AMADOR, STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

IN THE MATTER OF:

RESOLUTION APPROVING THE UNITED) RESOLUTION NO. 13-XXX
STATES FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICES)
PROPOSAL TO LIST THE YELLOW-LEGGED)
FROG AS ENDANGERED THROUGHOUT ITS RANGE)

WHEREAS, the United States Fish and Wildlife Service has proposed to list the yellow-legged frog as endangered throughout its range; and

WHEREAS, the United States Fish and Wildlife Service proposes to designate critical habitat for the yellow-legged frog that includes the eastern portion of Amador County beginning from Bear River Reservoir East to the County line; and

WHEREAS, the area is also used by residents and visitors of Amador County for OHV, hiking, hunting, fishing, grazing allotments, timber management, and other uses; and

WHEREAS, the proposed listing and designation of critical habitat may restrict the uses by residents and visitors of Amador County; and

WHEREAS, the proposed listing and designation of critical habitat will add to the regulatory burden on Federal, state, local and private land managers; and

WHEREAS, the proposed listing and designation of critical habitat will not provide additional regulatory protection for the yellow-legged frog; and

WHEREAS, the restricted uses by the residents and visitors of Amador County will not increase the survival rates of yellow-legged frogs; and

WHEREAS, the Eldorado National Forest has closed eighteen forest roads that may be hydrologically connected to adjacent meadows, many of which are within the proposed designated habitat for the yellow-legged frog; and

WHEREAS, some of these eighteen roads are historical routes used by early gold seekers, pioneers and recreational visitors to California; and

WHEREAS, over 150 years of use defies a sense of urgency for road closure; and

WHEREAS, these eighteen roads are still some of the most significant recreational roads in the State of California; and

WHEREAS, these eighteen roads are used extensively to improve the health and welfare of California residents and visitors to the Eldorado National Forest; and

WHEREAS, the Forest Service has not completed analyses to determine if motor vehicle travel impacts the hydrological connectivity of the meadows; and

WHEREAS, the Forest Service has obligated itself to develop and implement corrective actions where necessary and has failed to do so; and

WHEREAS, the USFS Supervisor has allowed this proposed ESA listing to influence her decision to continue the closure of these eighteen motorized vehicle routes in advance of the actual listing; and

WHEREAS, the USFS chose to expend resources to study these same eighteen motorized vehicle routes for the presence of, and potential impacts to, species yet to be proposed for ESA listing; and

WHEREAS, the public and their representative jurisdictions were made aware of this route closure decision by Forest Supervisor Hardy on the day of her retirement; and

WHEREAS, six of these eighteen roads are within the Eastern portion of Amador County.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that resolved that the Amador County Board of Supervisors opposes the proposed listing and designation of critical habitat for the yellow-legged frog.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Amador County Board of Supervisors opposes the closure of the eighteen roads closed by the Eldorado National Forest in the Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement for the Travel Management Plan.

The foregoing resolution was duly passed and adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Amador at a regular meeting thereof, held on the 25th day of June, 2013 by the following vote:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:

Chairman, Board of Supervisors

ATTEST:
JENNIFER BURNS, Clerk of the
Board of Supervisors, Amador County,
California

Deputy

Whereas the United States Fish and Wildlife Service has proposed to list the yellow-legged frog as endangered throughout its range, and;

Whereas the United States Fish and Wildlife Service proposes to designate critical habitat for the yellow-legged frog that includes the eastern portion of Amador County beginning from Bear River Reservoir East to the County line, and;

Whereas the area is also used by residents and visitors of Amador County for OHV, hiking, hunting, fishing, grazing allotments, timber management, and other uses, and;

Whereas the proposed listing and designation of critical habitat may restrict the uses by residents and visitors of Amador County, and;

Whereas the proposed listing and designation of critical habitat will add to the regulatory burden on Federal, state, local and private land managers, and;

Whereas the proposed listing and designation of critical habitat will not provide additional regulatory protection for the yellow-legged frog, and;

Whereas the restricted uses by the residents and visitors of Amador County will not increase the survival rates of yellow-legged frogs, and;

Whereas the Eldorado National Forest has closed eighteen forest roads that may be hydrologically connected to adjacent meadows, many of which are within the proposed designated habitat for the yellow-legged frog, and;

Whereas some of these eighteen roads are historical routes used by early gold seekers, pioneers and recreational visitors to California, and;

Whereas over 150 years of use defies a sense of urgency for road closure, and;

Whereas these eighteen roads are still some of the most significant recreational roads in the State of California, and;

Whereas these eighteen roads are used extensively to improve the health and welfare of California residents and visitors to the Eldorado National Forest, and;

Whereas the Forest Service has not completed analyses to determine if motor vehicle travel impacts the hydrological connectivity of the meadows; and;

Whereas the Forest Service has obligated itself to develop and implement corrective actions where necessary and has failed to do so, and;

~~Whereas six of these eighteen roads are within the Eastern portion of Amador County;~~

Whereas the USFS Supervisor has allowed this proposed ESA listing to influence her decision to continue the closure of these eighteen motorized vehicle routes in advance of the actual listing;
and;

Whereas the USFS chose to expend resources to study these same eighteen motorized vehicle routes for the presence of, and potential impacts to, species yet to be proposed for ESA listing;
and;

Whereas the public and their representative jurisdictions were made aware of this route closure decision by Forest Supervisor Hardy on the day of her retirement;

Whereas six of these eighteen roads are within the Eastern portion of Amador County;

Now be it therefore resolved:

That the Amador County Board of Supervisors opposes the proposed listing and designation of critical habitat for the yellow-legged frog, and;

That the Amador County Board of Supervisors opposes the closure of the eighteen roads closed by the Eldorado National Forest in the Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement for the Travel Management Plan.



Defend Rural America™

www.DefendRuralAmerica.com

SAVE YOUR COUNTY

The future of California's Sierra Nevada counties is being decided right now. These are your counties, but they won't be under your control unless you act now. Heed the warnings of Siskiyou County's [Frank Tallerico](#) and [Tom Wetter](#) as to the severe economic consequences of inaction.

HERE'S HOW

► **Defeat The Frogs and Toads Listing** The Fish and Wildlife Service proposes to close off 2 MILLION more acres of California's forests (an area 30% larger than Delaware) that span 14 counties (Alpine, Amador, El Dorado, Fresno, Inyo, Madera, Mariposa, Mono, Nevada, Placer, Plumas, Sierra, Tulare, and Tuolumne) and 9 national forests. *Reference:* [information and map](#), [overview](#), [talking points](#), [article 1](#), [article 2](#).

- ACTION: Challenge the [Listing](#) and the [Habitat Designation](#). The science is clear: virus, herbicides like Roundup, and trout are the problem. Habitat is neither the problem nor the solution. In addition, a listing is not necessary as current regulatory measures are adequate.
- ACTION: Request a public meeting and hearing in each county. June 10 is the submission deadline. [Here is a template](#).
- ACTION: Jointly fund a professionally written response, which must contain "substantive commentary" to be legally binding. June 24 is the submission deadline. [Here is an example](#).

► **Reopen The Forests** The most direct path to restoring county prosperity and services is to keep open, or reopen, the forests to prudent commercial forest management. This also preserves recreational uses, and adds 1 million acre-feet of water to California's water supply for agricultural and ecological use.

- ACTION: Each county Board to pass 2 resolutions [Declaring of a state of emergency](#) and [Authorizing the reopening of closed forest roads](#).

► **Vote Down the Next Economy** The Next Economy is a proposal to turn the economic planning of El Dorado, Placer, Sacramento, Sutter, Yolo, and Yuba counties over to Sacramento-based "stakeholders," to be funded in part by local bonds. The People will pay, but have no say.

- ACTION: Vote down the Next Economy. [Here is the complete analysis](#).

► **Create A Permanent Defense** It should be obvious to everyone, the attack on Rural America will not end. Yet, the counties have done little to recognize this threat, and thus remain on the defensive.

- ACTION: Each county, jointly or severally, to hire a qualified professional to monitor all upcoming federal and state actions, and prepare responses, working with the county supervisors and sheriffs.

Download this page — with embedded links — from:
<http://www.DefendRuralAmerica.com/files/ActionPlan.PDF>

AGENDA TRANSMITTAL FORM

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Regular Agenda
<input type="checkbox"/>	Consent Agenda
<input type="checkbox"/>	Blue Slip
<input type="checkbox"/>	Closed Session
Meeting Date Requested:	
06/25/13	

To: **Board of Supervisors**
 Date: June 19, 2013

From: Aaron Brusatori Phone Ext. 429
(Department Head - please type)

Department Head Signature *[Handwritten Signature]* for AB

Agenda Title: Alternative Selection and Grant Application Preparation - Fiddletown Road at Shenandoah Road

Summary: (Provide detailed summary of the purpose of this item; attach additional page if necessary)
 Dokken Engineering on behalf of ACTC is working to prepare a HSIP (Highway Safety Improvement Project) grant application to cover a portion of the costs associated with improving the intersection of Fiddletown Road at Shenandoah Road. The Staff Report includes two options for the geometric configuration of the intersection.

Public Works seeks direction whether to move forward with the HSIP grant application during this application cycle (end of July) or wait until the County can move ahead and apply independently at a later date. The County will be responsible for providing the matching share if the grant application is successful.

Recommendation/Requested Action:
 Provide direction as to the preferred geometric option and direction to move forward with the HSIP grant application.

Fiscal Impacts (attach budget transfer form if appropriate) _____ Staffing Impacts _____

Budgeted Is a 4/5ths vote required? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Committee Review? Name _____ N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Committee Recommendation: _____	Contract Attached: Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Resolution Attached: Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ordinance Attached: Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Comments: _____
---	---

Request Reviewed by:

Chairman _____	Counsel <u>GB</u>
Auditor <u>[Signature]</u>	GSA Director <u>Hop</u>
CAO <u>[Signature]</u>	Risk Management <u>[Signature]</u>

Distribution Instructions: (Inter-Departmental Only, the requesting Department is responsible for distribution outside County Departments)
 Public Works _____

FOR CLERK USE ONLY

Meeting Date <u>6/25/13</u>	Time _____	Item # <u>7</u>
Board Action: Approved Yes ___ No ___	Unanimous Vote: Yes ___ No ___	
Ayes: _____	Resolution _____	Ordinance _____
Noes: _____	Resolution _____	Ordinance _____
Absent: _____	Comments: _____	

Distributed on _____	A new ATF is required from _____ Department For meeting of _____	I hereby certify this is a true and correct copy of action(s) taken and entered into the official records of the Amador County Board of Supervisors. ATTEST: _____ Clerk or Deputy Board Clerk
----------------------	--	--



AMADOR COUNTY TRANSPORTATION AND PUBLIC WORKS

MEMORANDUM

TO: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
FROM: PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT
SUBJECT: FIDDLETOWN ROAD / SHENANDOAH ROAD INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS
DATE: JUNE 19, 2013

Amador County Transportation Commission (ACTC) has directed their Consultant Engineer to develop conceptual design alternatives for the reconstruction/reconfiguration of the Fiddletown Rd / Shenandoah Rd intersection. The proposed design alternatives are intended to alleviate current traffic safety issues at this intersection and provide a base alternative for utilization in the upcoming HSIP funding grant application. After several iterations and public comment, ACTC, the City of Plymouth, Amador County DOT and the Consultant have identified two preliminary alternatives (Options) as candidates for nomination for the HSIP:

Option 5: Minor Build

Under this option, the Fiddletown/Shenandoah Rd intersection will remain relatively the same with the exception of minor alterations to shoulder areas and median area at the intersection. The shoulders along the curve of Shenandoah Road will be widened to allow for more horizontal space for vehicles travelling in each direction (increasing recovery area in cases of vehicle drift). The existing median area will be modified to create a more prominent feature in the roadway delineating the intersection movements.

Option 6: Full Build

This option proposes to shift the Shenandoah Rd alignment northwest relative to the current alignment. Shenandoah Rd profile will remain relatively low to the original ground. A new leg of Fiddletown Rd will be constructed to intersect Shenandoah Rd that will maximize the horizontal length of the new leg to provide more satisfactory geometric conditions. Shenandoah Rd will remain a free flow through movement, while Fiddletown Rd is proposed to be stop controlled at the intersection with a wide shoulder area for vehicles making right turns toward Fiddletown. Shenandoah Rd will remain a two-lane road (one lane in each direction) with a dedicated left turn pocket at the intersection. This option includes the acquisition of right-of-way.

Cost Estimate:

Option 5 Minor Build = \$240,000
Option 6 Full Build = \$1,660,000*

***Cost does include the "Left turn lane to Fiddletown" = \$230,000**

***Cost does not include the "Free through lane to Fiddletown" = \$210,000**

OPTION 5

MINOR BUILD

LEGEND

SHOULDER
WIDENING

EXISTING
RIGHT OF WAY

TRAFFIC
DIRECTION

CUT/FILL

EXISTING
ROADWAY


ROADWAY
OVERLAY

RAISED
MEDIAN WITH
DELINEATORS

SHENANDOAH ROAD

FIDDLETOWN
ROAD

TO PLYMOUTH



SCALE
0 40 80 120 160 200
MAY 2013

DE DOKKEN
ENGINEERING

1800 W. 10TH ST. SUITE 100
PLYMOUTH, MN 55441
TEL: 763.479.1111
WWW.DEDOKKEN.COM

Fiddletown / Shenandoah Road
Intersection
Option 5
MINOR BUILD

PROJECT SCOPE:

Minor build includes: widening of the shoulders along Shenandoah Rd an averlay and re-striping of the intersection approach of Shenandoah Road to ensure 12' lanes, and a raised median with delineators to prevent lane merging near the intersection.

PROJECT ESTIMATE:

ITEM	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	ITEM COST
PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING			
Environmental Document & PS&E	20% of Construction Items Subtotal		\$36,151
CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS			
Roadwork (Overlay Existing)	10,526 SF	\$6	\$63,156
Roadwork (New Roadway)	5,790 SF	\$10	\$57,900
Roadway (Obliterate remnant old road)	0 SF	\$2	\$0
Raised Median	375 SF	\$9	\$3,375
Retaining Walls	0 SF	\$50	\$0
Signals	0 EA	\$250,000	\$0
Lighting (Cobra Head)	0 EA	\$4,000	\$0
Supplemental Drainage	0 LF	\$0	\$0
Supplemental Structures	0 LS	\$0	\$0
Supplemental Earthwork (Import)	430 CY	\$22	\$9,460
Supplemental Earthwork (Excavation)	0 CY	\$65	\$0
Supplemental Erosion Control	0 LS	\$0	\$0
Landscaping	0 SF	\$2	\$0
		<i>subtotal</i>	\$133,891
Minor Items	15%		\$20,084
Mobilization	10%		\$13,389
Contingency	10%		\$13,389
		<i>Construction Items subtotal</i>	\$180,753
RIGHT OF WAY			
Residential Temporary Construction Easement	0.00 Acre	\$30,000	\$0
Residential Fee Take	0.00 Acre	\$300,000	\$0
Commercial Temporary Construction Easement	0.00 Acre	\$100,000	\$0
Commercial Fee Take	0.00 Acre	\$1,000,000	\$0
Fee take from conservation land	0.00 Acre	\$21,780	\$0
Utility Relocations	0.00 LS	\$60,000	\$0
		<i>Subtotal</i>	\$0
ADMINISTRATION			
Construction Administration	15%		\$27,113
		<i>Subtotal</i>	\$0
TOTAL PROJECT COST		\$240,000	\$27,113

OPTION 6

FULL BUILD

LEGEND

PROPOSED ROADWAY

EXISTING RIGHT OF WAY


TRAFFIC DIRECTION

CUT/FILL

EXISTING ROADWAY

SCALE: 1" = 40' 0" 80' 120' 160' 200'

JUNE 2013




1000 W. 10th Street, Suite 100
Plymouth, MN 55441
Phone: 763.433.1111
Fax: 763.433.1112
www.bedokken.com

SHENANDOAH ROAD

FIDDLETOWN ROAD

TO PLYMOUTH




SHENANDOAH RD / FIDDLETOWN RD
INTERSECTION

AMADOR COUNTY

LEGEND

- EXISTING GROUND
- PROPOSED GROUND
- INTERSECTION

DATE: JUNE, 2013




SHENANDOAH ROAD PROFILE
OPTION 6

SHENANDOAH RD/FIDDLETOWN RD
INTERSECTION


AMADOR COUNTY

LEGEND
 ——— PROPOSED GROUND
 - - - - - EXISTING GROUND



**FIDDLETOWN ROAD PROFILE
OPTION 6**

JUNE, 2013



DEDOKKEN
ENGINEERING



**Fiddletown / Shenandoah Road
Intersection
Option 6**

June 2013

FULL PROJECT AS PRESENTED

PROJECT SCOPE:

Realign the Fiddletown Road to "T" into Shenandoah Road. Increase the horizontal curve of Shenandoah Road at this intersection and raise the profile to minimize the slope of Fiddletown coming into Shenandoah. Move intersection out into conservation land to minimize fill.

PROJECT ESTIMATE:

ITEM	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	ITEM COST
PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING			
Environmental Document & PS&E	20% of Construction Items Subtotal		\$241,054
CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS			
Roadwork (Overlay Existing)	0	SF \$6	\$0
Roadwork (New Roadway)	77,129	SF \$10	\$771,290
Roadway (Obliterate remnant old road)	40,926	SF \$2	\$81,852
Curb and Gutter	0	LF \$35	\$0
Retaining Walls	0	SF \$50	\$0
Signals	0	EA \$250,000	\$0
Lighting (Cobra Head)	0	EA \$4,000	\$0
Supplemental Drainage	0	LF \$0	\$0
Supplemental Structures	0	LS \$0	\$0
Supplemental Earthwork (Import)	0	CY \$22	\$0
Supplemental Earthwork (Excavation)	610	CY \$65	\$39,650
Supplemental Erosion Control	0	LS \$0	\$0
Landscaping	0	SF \$2	\$0
		<i>subtotal</i>	\$892,792
Minor Items	15%		\$133,919
Mobilization	10%		\$89,279
Contingency	10%		\$89,279
		<i>Construction Items subtotal</i>	\$1,205,269
RIGHT OF WAY			
Residential Temporary Construction Easement	0.00	Acres \$30,000	\$0
Residential Fee Take	0.00	Acres \$300,000	\$0
Commercial Temporary Construction Easement	0.00	Acres \$100,000	\$0
Commercial Fee Take	0.00	Acres \$1,000,000	\$0
Fee take from conservation land	1.47	Acres \$21,780	\$32,017
Utility Relocations	0.00	LS \$60,000	\$0
		<i>Subtotal</i>	\$32,017
ADMINISTRATION			
Construction Administration	1.5%		\$180,790
		<i>Subtotal</i>	\$0
		<i>Subtotal</i>	\$180,790
TOTAL PROJECT COST			\$1,660,000

OPTION 6

LEFT TURN
LANE

LEGEND

PROPOSED
ROADWAY

EXISTING
RIGHT OF WAY

TRAFFIC
DIRECTION

CUT/FILL

EXISTING
ROADWAY

LEFT TURN
LANE


SCALE: 1" = 40' 0"
0 40 80 120 160 200
JUNE 2013




SHENANDOAH ROAD

FIDDLETOWN
ROAD

LEFT TURN LANE TO
FIDDLETOWN = \$230,000



TO PLYMOUTH



June 2013

**Fiddletown / Shenandoah Road
Intersection
Option 6 - ADDITIONAL COST OF
LEFT TURN LANE**

PROJECT SCOPE:

Realign the Fiddletown Road to "T" into Shenandoah Road. Increase the horizontal curve of Shenandoah Road at this intersection and raise the profile to minimize the slope of Fiddletown coming into Shenandoah.

PROJECT ESTIMATE:

ITEM	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	ITEM COST
PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING			
Environmental Document & PS&E	20% of Construction Items Subtotal		\$33,029
CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS			
Roadwork (Overlay Existing)	0 SF	\$6	\$0
Roadwork (New Roadway)	11,609 SF	\$10	\$116,090
Roadway (Obliterate remnant old road)	0 SF	\$2	\$0
Curb and Gutter	0 LF	\$35	\$0
Retaining Walls	0 SF	\$50	\$0
Signals	0 EA	\$250,000	\$0
Lighting (Cobra Head)	0 EA	\$4,000	\$0
Supplemental Drainage	0 LF	\$0	\$0
Supplemental Structures	0 LS	\$0	\$0
Supplemental Earthwork (Import)	0 CY	\$22	\$0
Supplemental Earthwork (Excavation)	96 CY	\$65	\$6,240
Supplemental Erosion Control	0 LS	\$0	\$0
Landscaping	0 SF	\$2	\$0
		<i>subtotal</i>	\$122,330
Minor Items	15%		\$18,350
Mobilization	10%		\$12,233
Contingency	10%		\$12,233
		<i>Construction Items subtotal</i>	\$165,146
RIGHT OF WAY			
Residential Temporary Construction Easement	0.00 Acre	\$30,000	\$0
Residential Fee Take	0.00 Acre	\$300,000	\$0
Commercial Temporary Construction Easement	0.00 Acre	\$100,000	\$0
Commercial Fee Take	0.00 Acre	\$1,000,000	\$0
Fee Take From Conservation Land	0.22 Acre	\$21,780	\$4,792
Utility Relocations	0.00 LS	\$60,000	\$0
		<i>Subtotal</i>	\$4,792
ADMINISTRATION			
Construction Administration	15%		\$24,772
		<i>Subtotal</i>	\$0
		<i>Subtotal</i>	\$24,772
TOTAL PROJECT COST			\$230,000

OPTION 6

SHENANDOAH RD THROUGH LANE

LEGEND

PROPOSED ROADWAY

EXISTING RIGHT OF WAY


TRAFFIC DIRECTION

CUT/FILL

EXISTING ROADWAY

THROUGH LANE

SCALE: 0 40 80 120 160 200 FEET
DATE: JUNE 2013




SHENANDOAH ROAD

FIDDLETOWN ROAD



TO PLYMOUTH

FREE THROUGH LANE TO FIDDLETOWN = \$210,000




SHENANDOAH RD/FIDDLETOWN RD
INTERSECTION

AMADOR COUNTY



SHENANDOAH CONNECTION ROAD PROFILE
OPTION 6



June 2013

**Fiddletown / Shenandoah Road
Intersection**

**Option 6 - ADDITIONAL COST OF
FREE THROUGH LANE TO
FIDDLETOWN**

PROJECT SCOPE:

Realign the Fiddletown Road to "T" into Shenandoah Road. Increase the horizontal curve of Shenandoah Road at this intersection and raise the profile to minimize the slope of Fiddletown coming into Shenandoah.

PROJECTIONS:

ITEM	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	ITEM COST
PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING			
Environmental Document & P&S&E	20% of Construction Items Subtotal		\$30,623
CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS			
Roadwork (Overlay Existing)	0 SF	\$6	\$0
Roadwork (New Roadway)	10,754 SF	\$10	\$107,540
Roadway (Obliterate remnant old road)	0 SF	\$2	\$0
Curb and Gutter	0 LF	\$35	\$0
Retaining Walls	0 SF	\$50	\$0
Signals	0 EA	\$250,000	\$0
Lighting (Cobra Head)	0 EA	\$4,000	\$0
Supplemental Drainage	0 LF	\$0	\$0
Supplemental Structures	0 LS	\$0	\$0
Supplemental Earthwork (Import)	268 CY	\$22	\$5,896
Supplemental Earthwork (Excavation)	0 CY	\$65	\$0
Supplemental Erosion Control	0 LS	\$0	\$0
Landscaping	0 SF	\$2	\$0
		<i>Subtotal</i>	\$113,436
Minor Items	15%		\$17,015
Mobilization	10%		\$11,344
Contingency	10%		\$11,344
		<i>Construction Items subtotal</i>	\$153,139
RIGHT OF WAY			
Residential Temporary Construction Easement	0.00 Acre	\$30,000	\$0
Residential Fee Take	0.00 Acre	\$300,000	\$0
Commercial Temporary Construction Easement	0.00 Acre	\$100,000	\$0
Commercial Fee Take	0.00 Acre	\$1,000,000	\$0
Fee take from conservation land	0.00 Acre	\$21,780	\$0
Utility Relocations	0.00 LS	\$60,000	\$0
		<i>Subtotal</i>	\$0
ADMINISTRATION			
Construction Administration	15%		\$22,971
		<i>Subtotal</i>	\$0
		TOTAL PROJECT COST	\$210,000
		<i>Subtotal</i>	\$23,971

AGENDA TRANSMITTAL FORM

To: Board of Supervisors

Date: June 17, 2013

From: Brian Oneto, Supervisor District V
(Department Head - please type)

Phone Ext. 470

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Regular Agenda
<input type="checkbox"/>	Consent Agenda
<input type="checkbox"/>	Blue Slip
<input type="checkbox"/>	Closed Session
Meeting Date Requested:	
<u>June 25, 2013</u>	

Department Head Signature _____

Agenda Title: Senate Gun Control Bills

Summary: (Provide detailed summary of the purpose of this item; attach additional page if necessary)

Approval of issuing support to Sheriff Ryan's letter dated May 30, 2013 to Governor Brown relative to the Senate Gun Control Bills.

Recommendation/Requested Action:
Approval

Fiscal Impacts (attach budget transfer form if appropriate)	Staffing Impacts
Is a 4/5ths vote required? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Contract Attached: Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Committee Review? Name _____ N/A <input type="checkbox"/>	Resolution Attached: Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Committee Recommendation: _____	Ordinance Attached: Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Comments: _____

Request Reviewed by:

Chairman _____	Counsel <u>GC</u>
Auditor <u>EJK</u>	GSA Director <u>top</u>
CAO <u>AK</u>	Risk Management <u>Chm</u>

Distribution Instructions: (Inter-Departmental Only, the requesting Department is responsible for distribution outside County Departments)

Sheriff's Office; BOS

FOR CLERK USE ONLY


Meeting Date: <u>June 25, 2013</u>	Time: <u>9 a.m.</u>	Item #: <u>8</u>
Board Action: Approved Yes ___ No ___	Unanimous Vote: Yes ___ No ___	
Ayes: _____	Resolution _____	Ordinance _____
Noes: _____	Resolution _____	Ordinance _____
Absent: _____	Comments: _____	Other: _____

Distributed on _____	A new ATF is required from _____ Department	I hereby certify this is a true and correct copy of action(s) taken and entered into the official records of the Amador County Board of Supervisors.
Completed by _____	For meeting of _____	ATTEST: _____ Clerk or Deputy Board Clerk

cc: All Supes

**OFFICE OF THE
SHERIFF-CORONER**

700 COURT STREET • JACKSON, CA 95642-2130



**MARTIN A. RYAN
SHERIFF-CORONER**

OFFICE OF SHERIFF-CORONER (209) 223-6515 • FAX (209) 223-1609

MAY 4 09 11 30

OFFICIAL RECORDS
AMADOR COUNTY, CA

May 30, 2013

Governor Edmund G. Brown Jr.
State Capitol, #1173
Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Senate Gun Control Bills – May 29, 2013

Dear Governor Brown,

I read the article in the May 30, 2013 issue of the Sacramento Bee discussing the California Senate’s passage of a series of new gun control measures and feel compelled to weigh in on this issue as the Sheriff of a small rural county whose citizens have a long history of responsible gun ownership.

The intent of this package of bills as stated by Senate President Pro Tem Steinberg is in part to, “...keep the circulation of firearms and ammunition out of the hands of dangerous persons...” The reality is that many of these bills will only serve to create criminals out of currently law abiding citizens by banning weapons and ammunition magazines that have been in their possession legally for many years. In the case of some rifles and pistols, they are family heirlooms passed down from former generations, including military veterans.

Once again, an effort is being made to ban guns or their accessories because of their characteristics rather than deal with the mental health and criminal segment of our population that is responsible for our homicide rate and mass shootings. Does the Senate really believe that these individuals will abide by these new laws? If not, then their only intent is to make criminals out of the law abiding and they should be ashamed.

This thinly veiled effort to ban all firearms will do virtually nothing to prevent the criminal element from continuing to conduct business as usual while depriving good citizens of their Constitutional right to self-protection.

California’s current gun regulations (since 1989) have significantly reduced this state’s homicide rate by 25% from 2002 through 2010 (Attorney General’s Report on Homicide in California 2011).


A further review of the Attorney General’s 2011 publication reveals that all classifications of rifles used in the commission of homicides in this state accounted for a mere 2.5% of the total in 2011, down 24.1% from 2010. In contrast, knives accounted for 14.9%, blunt objects 4.9%, hands and feet 5.6% of all homicides in 2011.

We know through this same report that of the homicides where a contributing circumstance was known in 2011, 32.1% were gang related. Do these bills address the thousands of weapons illegally in possession of this state's estimated 338 gangs (FBI 2011 National Gang Threat Assessment) and their associates? The FBI report states that Los Angeles County leads the nation's counties with 68,208 gang members and San Bernardino County is ranked third in the nation with 40,588 gang members. Will these gang members register their weapons, abandon their large capacity magazines, submit to background checks for obtaining ammunition, or take a firearms training class and earn a safety certificate as required by these new laws if signed into law?

The more likely scenario is that thousands of Californians will ignore the new laws that will require them to self-report their possession of currently legal magazines and hunting rifles with detachable magazines that do not meet today's "assault weapon" requirements for registration and were inherited from family members many years ago. The end result will be the creation of a new class of "criminal" solely because our law makers want to claim the title of the most restrictive gun ownership state in this nation, not because these measures will impact those who commit crimes of violence against our citizenry.

When these measures reach your desk, I would respectfully ask that you consider whether or not, based upon fact rather than emotion, such laws will only punish the law abiding while having no effect on those with evil intent and use your veto authority accordingly.

Sincerely,



MARTIN A. RYAN
Sheriff-Coroner
Amador County

MAR/es

Cc: Senator Tom Berryhill
Assemblyman Frank Bigelow
Amador County Board of Supervisors

AGENDA TRANSMITTAL FORM

To: Board of Supervisors

Date: June 19, 2013

From: Brian Oneto, District V
 (Department Head - please type)

Phone Ext. 470

- Regular Agenda
- Consent Agenda
- Blue Slip
- Closed Session

Meeting Date Requested:

6-25-13

Department Head Signature _____

Agenda Title: Assembly Constitutional Amendment No. 8

Summary: (Provide detailed summary of the purpose of this item; attach additional page if necessary)

Discussion and possible action relative to approval of the Chairman's signature on a letter of opposition regarding the subject proposed amendment as it relates to local government finance.

Recommendation/Requested Action:

Fiscal Impacts (attach budget transfer form if appropriate)

Staffing Impacts

Is a 4/5ths vote required? Yes No

Contract Attached: Yes No N/A
 Resolution Attached: Yes No N/A
 Ordinance Attached: Yes No N/A

Committee Review? N/A

Name _____

Committee Recommendation: _____

Comments: _____

Request Reviewed by:

Chairman _____

Counsel 60

Auditor EDJ

GSA Director Hop

CAO Ch

Risk Management YAA

Distribution Instructions: (Inter-Departmental Only, the requesting Department is responsible for distribution outside County Departments)

FOR CLERK USE ONLY

Meeting Date 6/25/13 Time _____ Item # 9

Board Action: Approved Yes ___ No ___ Unanimous Vote: Yes ___ No ___

Ayes: _____ Resolution _____ Ordinance _____ Other: _____

Noes: _____ Resolution _____ Ordinance _____

Absent: _____ Comments: _____

Distributed on _____
 Completed by _____
 of _____
 Department _____
 For meeting _____

I hereby certify this is a true and correct copy of action(s) taken and entered into the official records of the Amador County Board of Supervisors.
 ATTEST: _____
 Clerk or Deputy Board Clerk

Save

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY APRIL 4, 2013

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE—2013–14 REGULAR SESSION

Assembly Constitutional Amendment

No. 8

Introduced by Assembly Member Blumenfield

*(Coauthors: Assembly Members Chesbro, Jones-Sawyer, Nazarian,
Rendon, and Williams)*

February 13, 2013

Assembly Constitutional Amendment No. 8—A resolution to propose to the people of the State of California an amendment to the Constitution of the State, by amending Section 1 of Article XIII A thereof, and by amending Section 18 of Article XVI thereof, relating to local government finance.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

ACA 8, as amended, Blumenfield. Local government financing: voter approval.

(1) The California Constitution prohibits the ad valorem tax rate on real property from exceeding 1% of the full cash value of the property, subject to certain exceptions.

This measure would create an additional exception to the 1% limit for a rate imposed by a city, county, city and county, or special district, as defined, to service bonded indebtedness incurred to fund specified public improvements and facilities, or buildings used primarily to provide sheriff, police, or fire protection services, that is approved by 55% of the voters of the city, county, city and county, or special district, as applicable.

(2) The California Constitution prohibits specified local government agencies from incurring any indebtedness exceeding in any year the income and revenue provided in that year, without the assent of $\frac{2}{3}$ of

the voters and subject to other conditions. In the case of a school district, community college district, or county office of education, the California Constitution permits a proposition for the incurrence of indebtedness in the form of general obligation bonds for the construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, or replacement of school facilities, including the furnishing and equipping of school facilities, or the acquisition or lease of real property for school facilities, to be adopted upon the approval of 55% of the voters of the district or county, as appropriate, voting on the proposition at an election.

This measure would similarly lower to 55% the voter-approval threshold for a city, county, or city and county to incur bonded indebtedness, exceeding in any year the income and revenue provided in that year, that is in the form of general obligation bonds to fund specified public improvements and facilities, or buildings used primarily to provide sheriff, police, or fire protection services.

Vote: $\frac{2}{3}$. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: no.
State-mandated local program: no.

1 *Resolved by the Assembly, the Senate concurring,* That the
2 Legislature of the State of California at its 2013–14 Regular
3 Session commencing on the third day of December 2012,
4 two-thirds of the membership of each house concurring, hereby
5 proposes to the people of the State of California, that the
6 Constitution of the State be amended as follows:

7 First—That Section 1 of Article XIII A thereof is amended to
8 read:

9 SECTION 1. (a) The maximum amount of any ad valorem
10 tax on real property shall not exceed 1 percent of the full cash
11 value of that property. The 1 percent tax shall be collected by the
12 counties and apportioned according to law to the districts within
13 the counties.

14 (b) The limitation provided for in subdivision (a) shall not apply
15 to ad valorem taxes or special assessments to pay the interest and
16 redemption charges on any of the following:

17 (1) Indebtedness approved by the voters prior to July 1, 1978.

18 (2) Bonded indebtedness for the acquisition or improvement of
19 real property approved on or after July 1, 1978, by two-thirds of
20 the votes cast by the voters voting on the proposition.

21 (3) Bonded indebtedness incurred by a school district,
22 community college district, or county office of education to fund

1 the construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, or replacement of
2 school facilities, including the furnishing and equipping of school
3 facilities, or the acquisition or lease of real property for school
4 facilities, approved by 55 percent of the voters of the district or
5 county, as appropriate, voting on the proposition on or after
6 November 8, 2000. This paragraph shall apply only if the
7 proposition approved by the voters and resulting in the bonded
8 indebtedness includes all of the following accountability
9 requirements:

10 (A) A requirement that the proceeds from the sale of the bonds
11 be used only for the purposes specified in this paragraph and not
12 for any other purpose, including teacher and administrator salaries
13 and other school operating expenses.

14 (B) A list of the specific school facilities projects to be funded
15 and certification that the school district board, community college
16 board, or county office of education has evaluated safety, class
17 size reduction, and information technology needs in developing
18 that list.

19 (C) A requirement that the school district board, community
20 college board, or county office of education conduct an annual,
21 independent performance audit to ensure that the funds have been
22 expended only on the specific projects listed.

23 (D) A requirement that the school district board, community
24 college board, or county office of education conduct an annual,
25 independent financial audit of the proceeds from the sale of the
26 bonds until all of those proceeds have been expended for the school
27 facilities projects.

28 (4) (A) Bonded indebtedness, approved by 55 percent of the
29 voters of a city, county, city and county, or special district, as
30 applicable, voting on the proposition on or after the effective date
31 of the measure adding this paragraph, incurred by the city, county,
32 city and county, or special district to fund the construction,
33 reconstruction, rehabilitation, maintenance, replacement, or
34 earthquake repair or seismic retrofit of any of the following:

35 (i) Public improvements, including, but not limited to,
36 improvements to transportation infrastructures, streets and roads,
37 sidewalks, transit systems, highways, freeways, sewer systems,
38 water systems, wastewater systems, storm drain systems, and park
39 and recreation facilities.

1 (ii) Facilities or buildings used primarily to provide sheriff,
2 police, or fire protection services to the public, including the
3 furnishing and equipping of those facilities or buildings.

4 (B) “Special district,” for purposes of this paragraph, has the
5 same meaning as that term is used in subdivision (c) of Section 1
6 of Article XIII C, *and includes a transit district*, but does not
7 include a school district or a redevelopment agency.

8 (c) Notwithstanding any other provisions of law or of this
9 Constitution, a school district, community college district, county
10 office of education, city, county, city and county, or special district,
11 *including a transit district*, may levy a 55 percent vote ad valorem
12 tax pursuant to subdivision (b).

13 Second—That Section 18 of Article XVI thereof is amended to
14 read:

15 SEC. 18. (a) No county, city, town, township, board of
16 education, or school district, shall incur any indebtedness or
17 liability in any manner or for any purpose exceeding in any year
18 the income and revenue provided for that year, without the assent
19 of two-thirds of the voters of the public entity voting at an election
20 to be held for that purpose, except that with respect to any such
21 public entity that is authorized to incur indebtedness for public
22 school purposes, any proposition for the incurrence of indebtedness
23 in the form of general obligation bonds for the purpose of repairing,
24 reconstructing, or replacing public school buildings determined,
25 in the manner prescribed by law, to be structurally unsafe for school
26 use, shall be adopted upon the approval of a majority of the voters
27 of the public entity voting on the proposition at that election; nor
28 unless before or at the time of incurring the indebtedness, provision
29 shall be made for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay
30 the interest on the indebtedness as it falls due, and to provide for
31 a sinking fund for the payment of the principal thereof, on or before
32 maturity, which shall not exceed forty years from the time of
33 contracting the indebtedness.

34 (b) Notwithstanding subdivision (a), on or after November 8,
35 2000, in the case of any school district, community college district,
36 or county office of education, any proposition for the incurrence
37 of indebtedness in the form of general obligation bonds for the
38 construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, or replacement of
39 school facilities, including the furnishing and equipping of school
40 facilities, or the acquisition or lease of real property for school

1 facilities, shall be adopted upon the approval of 55 percent of the
2 voters of the district or county, as appropriate, voting on the
3 proposition at an election. This subdivision shall apply only to a
4 proposition for the incurrence of indebtedness in the form of
5 general obligation bonds for the purposes specified in this
6 subdivision if the proposition meets all of the accountability
7 requirements of paragraph (3) of subdivision (b) of Section 1 of
8 Article XIII A.

9 (c) Notwithstanding subdivision (a), on or after the effective
10 date of the measure adding this subdivision, in the case of any city,
11 county, or city and county, any proposition to incur indebtedness
12 in the form of general obligation bonds shall be adopted by 55
13 percent of the voters of the city, county, or city and county, as
14 applicable, voting on the proposition at an election, where the
15 general obligation bonds would fund the construction,
16 reconstruction, rehabilitation, maintenance, replacement, or
17 earthquake repair or seismic retrofit of any of the following:

18 (1) Public improvements, including, but not limited to,
19 improvements to transportation infrastructures, streets and roads,
20 sidewalks, transit systems, highways, freeways, sewer systems,
21 water systems, wastewater systems, storm drain systems, and park
22 and recreation facilities.

23 (2) Facilities or buildings used primarily to provide sheriff,
24 police, or fire protection services to the public, including the
25 furnishings and equipping of those facilities or buildings.

26 (d) When two or more propositions for incurring any
27 indebtedness or liability are submitted at the same election, the
28 votes cast for and against each proposition shall be counted
29 separately, and when two-thirds or a majority or 55 percent of the
30 voters, as the case may be, voting on any one of those propositions,
31 vote in favor thereof, the proposition shall be deemed adopted.

O

AGENDA TRANSMITTAL FORM

To: Board of Supervisors

Date: June 19, 2013

From: Jennifer Burns, Clerk of the Board
(Department Head - please type)

Phone Ext. 470

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Regular Agenda
<input type="checkbox"/>	Consent Agenda
<input type="checkbox"/>	Blue Slip
<input type="checkbox"/>	Closed Session
Meeting Date Requested:	
<u>6/25/13</u>	

Department Head Signature _____

Agenda Title: <u>Minutes</u>	
Summary: (Provide detailed summary of the purpose of this item; attach additional page if necessary) Review and approval of the May 28, 2013 Board of Supervisors Meeting Minutes.	
Recommendation/Requested Action:	
Fiscal Impacts (attach budget transfer form if appropriate)	Staffing Impacts
Is a 4/5ths vote required? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	Contract Attached: Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> Resolution Attached: Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> Ordinance Attached: Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> Comments: _____
Committee Review? N/A <input type="checkbox"/> Name _____ Committee Recommendation: _____	
Request Reviewed by:	
Chairman _____ Auditor <u>[Signature]</u> CAO <u>[Signature]</u>	Counsel <u>GG</u> GSA Director <u>[Signature]</u> Risk Management <u>[Signature]</u>
Distribution Instructions: (Inter-Departmental Only, the requesting Department is responsible for distribution outside County Departments)	
FOR CLERK USE ONLY	
Meeting Date <u>6/25/13</u>	Time _____ Item # <u>10</u>
Board Action: Approved Yes ___ No ___ Unanimous Vote: Yes ___ No ___	
Ayes: _____ Resolution _____ Ordinance _____ Other: _____	
Noes: _____ Resolution _____ Ordinance _____	
Absent: _____ Comments: _____	
Distributed on _____ Completed by _____	A new ATF is required from _____ Department For meeting of _____
I hereby certify this is a true and correct copy of action(s) taken and entered into the official records of the Amador County Board of Supervisors.	
ATTEST: _____ Clerk or Deputy Board Clerk	

Save