21. PINE GROVE COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT

Pine Grove Community Services District (PGCSD) provides retail water delivery and public park services.

AGENCY OVERVIEW

Background

Pine Grove Community Services District (PGCSD) was formed on November 19, 1965, as an independent special district.⁴³⁷ PGCSD was formed to provide "domestic and commercial supply of water, and fire protection facilities, including hydrants."⁴³⁸ In 1994, PGCSD fire service was transferred to Amador Fire Protection District.

The principal act that governs the District is Community Services District Law.⁴³⁹ CSDs may potentially provide a wide array of services, including water supply, wastewater, solid waste, police and fire protection, street lighting and landscaping, airport, recreation and parks, mosquito abatement, library services; street maintenance and drainage services, ambulance service, utility undergrounding, transportation, abate graffiti, flood protection, weed abatement, hydroelectric power, among various other services. CSDs are required to gain LAFCO approval to provide those services permitted by the principal act but not already being performed by the end of 2005 (i.e., latent powers).⁴⁴⁰ LAFCO approved the PGCSD's provision of water, park and recreation services on February 23, 2006, as the District had provided these services by the end of 2005. LAFCO again authorized these services in 2010.⁴⁴¹

<u>Boundary</u>

The PGCSD boundary includes the community of Pine Grove, which is located in central Amador County, along SR 88 and Ridge Road. The boundary area encompasses portions of the community zoned for low-density and suburban residential, and commercial uses. The boundary extends east to west along Ridge Road (SR 104) and SR 88 from Druid Lane to Mt. Zion Road, north along Lupe Road and Pine Grove Volcano Road, and south along Irishtown Road and Spagnoli Mine Road. The District has a boundary area of approximately 524 acres (0.82 square miles).

LAFCO records of the District's boundary history include 20 annexations and one detachment, as shown in Figure 21-1. A petition from landowner, Del Rapini has been submitted to LAFCO staff for annexation (Project #274). Originally, the District planned to

⁴³⁷ Formation date is from Board of Equalization records.

⁴³⁸ Board of Supervisors resolution 1088.

⁴³⁹ Government Code §61000-61226.5.

⁴⁴⁰ Government Code §61106.

⁴⁴¹ LAFCO Resolution 2010-04.

annex additional parcels where service had been previously been extended along with the Rapini property. In December 2013, the District decided to proceed with the additional parcels at a later date. The Rapini annexation is pending hearing and will be reviewed by the commission in early 2014.

Figure 21-1: PGCSD I	LAFCO	Record
----------------------	-------	--------

	PGCSD LAFCO	Recor	'd		
			LAFCO		
			Resolution		
Туре	Project Name	Acres	Number	Official Da	te ¹
Annexation	Taylor et al Annexation		70-25	2/3/70 ((L)
Annexation	Ross, Sargent, et. Al. Annexation No. 4-X		70-28	7/9/70 ((C)
Annexation	Anderson, Toma, et. Al. Annexation No. 5		70-30	10/5/70 ((C)
Annexation	Coffin Annexation No. 6		70-31	1/28/71 ((C)
Annexation	Nicklas Annexation		71-40	12/1/71 ((C)
Annexation	Langston Annexation	0.65	78-109	8/15/78 ((C)
Annexation	Baker, Stanley, Ford Annexation		78-114	3/12/79	(C)
Annexation	Blankenheim Annexation		78-116	8/16/78 ((C)
Annexation	Oak Knoll Annexation	6.89	79-142	12/14/79 ((C)
Detachment	Phillips, Anderson and Griffith Detachment	4.02	80-146	4/14/80 ((C)
Annexation	Ramos Fellerson Annexation	3.31	80-149	8/18/80 ((C)
Annexation	Birt-Kone Annexation	2.41	81-156	6/10/81 ((C)
Annexation	Botts, et. Al Annexation	6.21	81-162	2/24/82	(C)
Annexation	Weigant-Novaky Annexation		82-167	2/17/83 ((C)
Annexation	Zeidler Annexation	1.37	83-169	10/24/83	(C)
Annexation	Pine Grove Shopping Center Annexation	8.07	87-205	9/24/87 ((L)
Annexation	Weigart and Novaky Annexation	10.11	85-191	2/17/87 ((B)
Annexation	Kruger Annexation	21.07	88-210	11/2/88 ((B)
Annexation	Ponderosa Heights Annexation	12.59	91-227	8/19/92	(B)
Annexation	Ponderosa Heights Water Project #2	27.06	94-248	3/19/96 ((B)
Annexation	Carlin Annexation	500.00	00-002	9/28/00	(B)
Annexation	Petersen Ranch		95-251	5/1/09 ((B)

Note:

(1) "L" indicates that the official date is according to the LAFCO resolution, "C" indicates that the official date is according to the Certificate of Completion, and "B" indicates that the official date is according to the Board of Equalization filing.

<u>Sphere of Influence</u>

The District's original SOI was adopted in 1976, but the resolution does not include any description of its geographic area. Undated maps showing various sphere boundary lines are found in the LAFCO files, but it is unclear whether these were proposals or whether one might have been the adopted sphere of influence. In 2010, LAFCO amended the SOI for

PGCSD to include all territory within the District's bounds, areas where the district currently provides service, and areas where future services are planned.⁴⁴²

Local Accountability and Governance

PGCSD is governed by a five-member governing body. Directors are to be selected via elections at large; however, in practice positions have not been contested in the last 15 years and members are appointed by the Board of Supervisors.

Figure 21-2: PGCSD Governing Body

Pine Grove Community Services District					
Governing Body					
	Name	Position	Term Ends		
	Paul G. Johnston	Director	Dec-17		
Morehore	Robert G. Blair	President	Dec-15		
Members	Jay D. Ollig	Finance Advisor	Dec-17		
	Michael J. Panarale	Director	Dec-17		
	H. Bruce Sherwood	Vice President	Dec-15		
Manner of Selection	Elections and appointme	ents at large			
Length of Term	Director - 4 years				
Meetings	Date: Second and Fourth District office	Wednesdays of each mor	nth Location:		
Agenda Distribution	Posted at District office	and and emailed to known	n attendees		
Minutes Distribution	By request and at month	ly meetings			
Contact					
Contact	Board President				
Mailing Address	P.O. Box 367, Pine Grove	e, CA 95665			
Phone	(209) 296-7188	(209) 296-7188			
Email/Website	pgcomser@volcano.net				

The District informs constituents by posting agendas at its office and at the post office. Minutes are available by request and at monthly meetings. Public outreach efforts also include an annual newsletter, as well as flyers or mailings as special issues arise. The District has a page on the community of Pine Grove website, but no public documents are accessible on that site.

With regard to customer service, complaints may be submitted to the District in writing, or in person to the office manager. Customers with complaints may also attend district meetings. The District reported that complaints most often relate to billing issues, and that it received approximately 12 complaints in 2012.

The District reported that it had no Brown Act violations in recent history. All new board members receive a copy of the Brown Act. Every January, the office manager notifies the members of any changes in the law pertaining to the Brown Act.

⁴⁴² LAFCO Resolution 2010-04.

The District demonstrated accountability in its disclosure of information and cooperation with LAFCO. The agency responded to LAFCO's written questionnaires and cooperated with document requests.

	 	 -
Management		

The principal act requires that districts have five-member governing boards and appoint a general manager to implement board policies.⁴⁴³ The District is managed by a part-time water manager and a part-time office manager; neither employee is considered a general manager. Both employees work approximately 20 hours per week. The water manager and office manager report directly to the board at regular meetings, and perform written reports monthly based on a task list outlined for their positions.

PGCSD performs official employee evaluations on an annual basis, and informally evaluates the performance of its employees monthly at its meetings.

The District did not identify specific measures it has taken to improve operational efficiency.

The District's planning efforts includes a Public Facilities Plan. Although the District does not adopt a formal capital improvement plan, it reported informal capital improvement planning efforts to address future needs from 2011 through 2020. As of January 2013, the District identified nine projects for improvement. Capital improvements are also addressed annually in the District's budget.

District financial planning efforts include annual preparation of a budget and annually audited financial statements. The most recent audited financial statement provided by the District was for FY 12. In the financial statements the auditor found PGCSD presented fairly, in all material respects the assets, liabilities and net assets of the District as of June 2012.

Management practices include risk management. The District's insurance includes a commercial package policy for general liability, auto liability and wrongful acts coverage that provides limits of liability of \$1 million per occurrence and \$3 million aggregate annually.

Service Demand and Growth

Existing land uses in the District's boundary are primarily low-density and suburban residential (i.e. from three units per acre to one unit per five acres, on average), and commercial properties. Commercial areas are concentrated along SR 104, through the center of the district boundary. The District reports that it serves approximately 60 commercial properties.

Economic activity within the District includes retail, medical, legal, and telecommunications services. Employers include the United States Post Office, Pine Cone Drug Store, Pine Grove Market, Amador Cremation and Funeral, Aces Waste Services, and Volcano Telephone.

⁴⁴³ Government Codes §61040 and 61050.

There are 300 water connections to residential properties within District bounds. The estimated population within District bounds is 690.⁴⁴⁴ The District's population density is 843 per square mile, compared to the countywide density of 64.

The District reported that service demand has not increased in recent years. Service demand may increase with development, as many property owners have split their five-acre parcels into four separate lots. For planning purposes, PGCSD continues to project less than three percent growth annually to forecast service needs.

Projected growth within the District is currently based on planned developments. Planned developments within district bounds include the Pine Grove Bluffs development (28 dwelling units) and the Petersen Ranch development (58 dwelling units) and the Tabeau Mobile Home Park (22 dwelling units). The District anticipates an additional one to two commercial units as part of the Pine Grove Bluffs development.

The District is not a land use authority, and does not hold primary responsibility for implementing growth strategies.

Disadvantaged Unincorporated Communities

LAFCO is required to evaluate disadvantaged unincorporated communities as part of this service review, including the location and characteristics of any such communities. A disadvantaged unincorporated community is defined as any area with 12 or more registered voters, or as determined by commission policy, where the median household income is less than 80 percent of the statewide annual median.⁴⁴⁵

The California Department of Water Resources (DWR) has developed a mapping tool to assist in determining which communities meet the disadvantaged communities median household income definition.⁴⁴⁶ DWR identified nine disadvantaged communities within Amador County—three of which are cities and are therefore not considered unincorporated.⁴⁴⁷ None of the identified disadvantaged communities are within or adjacent to PGCSD.

However, DWR is not bound by the same law as LAFCO to define communities with a minimum threshold of 12 or more registered voters. Because income information is not available for this level of analysis, disadvantaged unincorporated communities that meet LAFCO's definition cannot be identified at this time.

Financing

The District reported that existing financing sources are sufficient to deliver adequate services.

⁴⁴⁴ The population estimate for the District is the product of the number of water connections within the boundary area and the average household size (2.3) in Amador County in 2009-2011, according to the United States Census Bureau.

⁴⁴⁵ Government Code §56033.5.

⁴⁴⁶ Based on census data, the median household income in the State of California in 2010 was \$57,708, 80 percent of which is \$46,166.

⁴⁴⁷ DWR maps and GIS files are derived from the US Census Bureau's American Community Survey (ACS) and are compiled for the five-year period 2006-2010.

The District tracks its finances through an enterprise fund for water services and a general fund for park services.

Total revenue in FY 12 was \$362,494. Primary revenue sources were water sales (84 percent), assessments (11 percent), park facility rentals and donations (3.5 percent) and reimbursements, and other (1.5 percent). The District does not receive revenues from property taxes.

Total expenditures for the year were \$360,616. Costs were primarily composed of operation and maintenance of the distribution system (38 percent), administrative costs (25 percent), water purchases from AWA (20 percent) and depreciation costs (17 percent).

The District does not have an adopted policy on its target level for financial reserves. At the end of FY 12, the District's financial statement indicated an increase in net assets of \$1,878 with total unrestricted net assets of \$1,271,847 or approximately 350 percent of annual expenditures.

WATER SERVICES

This section describes the nature, extent and location of the water services provided as well as key infrastructure and water sources. The tables provide further information and indicators of the agency's water service supplies, demand, financing, service adequacy, and facilities.

Nature and Extent

PGCSD purchases treated water from AWA through the Central Amador Water Project (CAWP) and distributes it to residential and commercial users. The District does not provide water treatment services. The District provides necessary maintenance and operation of the water distribution system directly through its part-time water manager.

The District does not produce or use recycled water, and does not practice conjunctive use.

The District is a member of California Special Districts Association (CSDA) and California Rural Water Association (CRWA).

Location

PGCSD provides services within its bounds. The District's service area does not extend beyond its boundary area. There are less than 10 parcels within district bounds that are still on private wells and are not served by the District.

Infrastructure

Key infrastructure includes the District's office, three storage tanks, 11 miles of distribution pipeline, and a well.

PGCSD purchases treated surface water from Tiger Reservoir from AWA. The water is treated at AWA's Buckhorn Treatment Plant, passes through the CAWP transmission pipeline and fills the three storage tanks serving the District. The District is not aware of any constraints on the amount that AWA will supply to the District for service within its current boundaries. During times of water shortage, AWA has the prerogative to ration water to the District; however, that has never occurred. The District must apply to AWA for a commitment to serve additional connections outside of bounds.

The District reported that water purchased from AWA is generally of excellent quality and there have been no contaminant issues. Occasionally, customers complain of turbidity. The District exceeded copper and lead action levels at four locations in 2006;⁴⁴⁸ however, the issue was due to corroded pipes on the homeowners' property and was not the responsibility of the District, according to the District.⁴⁴⁹ There have been no other reported issues of this nature since the 2008 MSR.

⁴⁴⁸ Macleod, L., *High Level of Lead Found in Local Water*, 2006.

⁴⁴⁹ Interview with Roy Ragan, Board Member, PGCSD, January 29, 2008.

The District uses groundwater for non-potable uses. The District maintains a single well at the district office. The well is used primarily to fill the AFPD water tender and to provide bulk water to developers for construction sites. The well was built prior to 1960, but was refurbished in 2005 and is in good condition, as identified by the District.

The District owns and maintains three interconnected storage tanks with a total storage capacity of 801,000 gallons. The tanks were installed between 1987 and 1997 and are all in good condition, according to the District. All tanks are installed with SCADA, which relays information to operators at AWA's Buckhorn Treatment Plant. There were no reported storage tank needs or deficiencies.

In the event of emergencies, the District would rely on reserves in the storage tanks, which would accommodate peak demand (last measured in 2001) for approximately 3.7 days.⁴⁵⁰ There is a single intertie between the District and external water: the CAWP pipeline to the storage tanks. The District pumped water from a single well prior to receiving water from AWA, which is now classified as inactive and used only for non-potable purposes. If the water supply from AWA were to be halted for any emergency situation, in order to use water from the well for back-up purposes, the District must apply to re-classify the well as active.

The distribution system consists of 11 miles of PVC (65 percent) and asbestos-cement (35 percent) pipes. DPH identified the pipes as being in generally good condition; however, the District reported that the pipes are undersized with four to six-inch mains in some areas and in need of replacement with eight to 12-inch pipes. The District lacks funding to replace all of its undersized pipes; consequently, replacement is done on an as-needed basis. The District plans to begin regular upgrading and upsizing of the existing pipelines in 2013-2015. The District identified a challenge maintaining sufficient pressure for fire flow and is in the process of identifying options to maintain the ISO recommended flow of 1,000 gpm for residential areas and 1,500 to 2,000 gpm in commercial areas.

⁴⁵⁰ PGCSD, System Analysis, 2001, p. 1.

Figure 21-3: PGCSD Water Profile

Wat	er Service Co	onfigura	ation & Infr	astruct	ure		
Water Service	Provider(s)	Water Se		Provider(s			
Retail Water	Direct	Groundw	ater Recharge	Non			
Wholesale Water	AWA		ater Extraction	Direct			
Water Treatment	AWA	Recycled	Water	Non	е		
Service Area Desc	ription						
Retail Water	88. The service Road, north alo	The PGCSD boundary includes the community of Pine Grove, located along 5 88. The service area extends west along SR 88 from Druid Lane to Mt. Zion Road, north along Lupe Road and Pine Grove Volcano Road, and south along Irishtown Road and Spagnoli Mine Road.					
Wholesale Water	NA						
Recycled Water	NA						
Boundary Area	0.8 sq. mil	es	Population (2013)	690			
System Overview							
Average Daily Dema	and 0.203 mg		Peak Day Demand	2	NP		
Supply	purchased from	n AWA to ser	any limits on the an ve the current Distr ystem was not provi	rict bounds. T			
Major Facilities			_				
Facility Name	Туре	Capacity	,	Condition	Yr Built		
Storage Tank 1	Storage	98,000 ga	ıl.	Good	1987		
Storage Tank 2	Storage	283,000 §	gal.	Good	1987		
Storage Tank 3	Storage	420,000 §	gal.	Good	1997		
Well	Nonpotable We	ell 140 gpm		Good	Prior to 1960		
Other Infrastruct			1				
Reservoirs	0		Storage Capacity (mg)	0.80 mg		
Pump Stations	0		Pressure Zones		1		
Production Wells	1		Pipe Miles		11 miles		
	eds and Deficiencies						
	s identified by the Dist		mproved water pre	essure for fire	flow and		
	ersized four and six-ind						
	nd Regional Collabo						
Plant through AWA	: The District currently pipelines, in conjunction PD and the District rem	on with othe	er CAWP members.	In addition, th			
Opportunities: Th	ne District did not ident	tify any futu	re opportunities for	facility shari	ng.		
	licable, NP means Not Provi ct did not report the Peak D in the District.	-	_		12 was the day in		

continued

	W	ater Dei	<u>mand</u>	and <u>Su</u>	pply		
Service Connections		Total		le Bounds		unds	
Total		364		364	0		
Irrigation/Landscape		0		0	0		
Domestic		300		300	0		
Commercial/Industrial	/Institutio	na 64		64	0		
Recycled	, ,			0	0		
Other		0		0	0		
Average Annual Dem	and Infor	mation (Acre	e-Feet per	Year) ¹			
U U	2000	2005	2010	2015	2020	2025	2030
Total	NP	154.0	227.0	237.5	247.9	258.4	268.9
Residential	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP
Commercial/Industrial	1	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP
Irrigation/Landscape	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Water Sources	1 - 1		-	Supply (Ac	cre-Feet/Ye		
Source		Туре		Average	-	imum	Safe/Firm
Purchased water from	hased water from AWA			47.9		NP	NP
Hard rock well - non-potable		Groundwat	er	NP > 1		> 1 ²	NP
Supply Information (Acre-feet	per Year)					
	2000	2005	2010	2015	2020	2025	2030
Total	150.2	161.3	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP
Imported	150.2	161.3	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP
Groundwater	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Surface	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Recycled	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Recycled Drought Supply and 1	0						
	0			0			
Drought Supply and	0 Plans Year 1:	0	0 Year	0 2: NP		0	C
Drought Supply and Drought Supply (af) ¹	0 Plans Year 1: 1976, 197	0 NP	0 Year 007-2009 ²	0 2: NP		0	0
Drought Supply and Drought Supply (af) ¹ Significant Droughts Storage Practices	0 Plans Year 1: 1976, 197 Storage is	0 NP 7, 1988-94, 20 for short-terr	Vear 007-2009 ² n emergen	0 2: NP cies only.	0	0 Year 3:	NP
Drought Supply and Drought Supply (af) ¹ Significant Droughts	0 Plans Year 1: 1976, 197 Storage is The Distri	0 NP 7, 1988-94, 20	0 Year 007-2009 ² n emergen WA for all	0 2: NP cies only. drought plan	0 nning activit	0 Year 3: ties, as water	NP is
Drought Supply and Drought Supply (af) ¹ Significant Droughts Storage Practices	0 Plans Year 1: 1976, 197 Storage is The Distri purchased	0 NP 7, 1988-94, 20 for short-terr ct relies on AV	0 Year 2007-2009 ² n emergen WA for all Even durir	0 2: NP cies only. drought plan ng multiple-y	0 nning activit vear drough	0 Year 3: ties, as water	NP is
Drought Supply and Drought Supply (af) ¹ Significant Droughts Storage Practices	0 Plans Year 1: 1976, 197 Storage is The Distri purchased full water	0 NP 7, 1988-94, 20 for short-terr ct relies on AV l from AWA. 1	0 Year 2007-2009 ² n emergen WA for all Even durir	0 2: NP cies only. drought plan ng multiple-y	0 nning activit vear drough	0 Year 3: ties, as water	NP is
Drought Supply and Drought Supply (af) ¹ Significant Droughts Storage Practices Drought Plan	0 Plans Year 1: 1976, 197 Storage is The Distri purchased full water	0 NP 7, 1988-94, 20 for short-terr ct relies on AV l from AWA. 1	0 Year 2007-2009 ² n emergen WA for all Even durir	0 2: NP cies only. drought plan ng multiple-y	0 nning activit vear drough	0 Year 3: ties, as water	NP is
Drought Supply and Drought Supply (af) ¹ Significant Droughts Storage Practices Drought Plan Water Conservation	0 Plans Year 1: 1976, 197 Storage is The Distri purchased full water Practices	0 NP 7, 1988-94, 20 for short-terr ct relies on AV l from AWA. 1	0 Year 2007-2009 ² n emergen WA for all Even durir	0 2: NP cies only. drought plan ng multiple-y	0 nning activit vear drough	0 Year 3: ties, as water	NP is
Drought Supply and I Drought Supply (af) ¹ Significant Droughts Storage Practices Drought Plan Water Conservation I CUWCC Signatory	0 Plans Year 1: 1976, 197 Storage is The Distri purchased full water Practices No	0 NP 7, 1988-94, 20 for short-terr ct relies on AV l from AWA. 1	0 Year 2007-2009 ² n emergen WA for all Even durir	0 2: NP cies only. drought plan ng multiple-y	0 nning activit vear drough	0 Year 3: ties, as water	NP is
Drought Supply and I Drought Supply (af) ¹ Significant Droughts Storage Practices Drought Plan Water Conservation I CUWCC Signatory Metering	0 Plans Year 1: 1976, 197 Storage is The Distri purchased full water Practices No Yes Yes The Distri	0 NP 7, 1988-94, 20 for short-terr ct relies on AV l from AWA. 1 rights and has rights and has	0 Year 2007-2009 ² n emergen WA for all Even durir s never ena	2: NP cies only. drought plan ng multiple-y acted curtai er during the	0 nning activit vear drough lments.	0 Year 3: ties, as water ts, AWA has r	NP is received its
Drought Supply and I Drought Supply (af) ¹ Significant Droughts Storage Practices Drought Plan Water Conservation I CUWCC Signatory Metering Conservation Pricing	0 Plans Year 1: 1976, 197 Storage is The Distri purchased full water Practices No Yes Yes The Distri	0 NP 7, 1988-94, 20 for short-terr ct relies on AV l from AWA. 1 rights and has	0 Year 2007-2009 ² n emergen WA for all Even durir s never ena	2: NP cies only. drought plan ng multiple-y acted curtai er during the	0 nning activit vear drough lments.	0 Year 3: ties, as water ts, AWA has r	NP is received its

(1) Projections based on the District's assumptions of approximately 3-4 additional connections annually. The average use per connection is 1,000 cubic feet every 60 days.

(2) The District reported that contractors purchase the well water by the container or truck fill-up on an as needed basis. A truck load ranges from 100 gallons to 2-3 thousand gallons per fill up; thus, in a year the District supply via well is far less than a single acre-foot annually.

(3) http://www.water.ca.gov/waterconditions/drought/pubs.cfm

382

Water Rates and Financing						
Domestic Water Ra	ates-Ongo	ing Charges F Rate Descri			Avg. Monthly Charges	, Consumption ²
Residential	Water Us cf) \$0.040/c	onthly: \$60.00 e: \$0.036/cubic ubic foot (1001 ubic foot (abov	c foot (501- -2000 cf)		\$ 48.72	250 gal/day
Special Rates						
Water rates are the s	ame throu	ghout the Distr	ict, based or	reside	ntial and comme	ercial applications.
Rate-Setting Proce	dures					
Policy Description					hly fee for maint ourage conserva	tenance and a charge tion.
Most Recent Rate Ch	ange	2010	Frequency	y of Rat	e Changes ³	As needed
Water Developme	nt Fees an	d Requiremen	nts			
Connection Fee App	roach	New connect and \$650 for			st of increased d	emand on facilities
Connection Fee Timi	ng	Upon close of	f escrow			
Connection Fee Amo	unt	\$6,000/Single	e Family Un	it		
Water Enterprise I	Revenues,	FY 13		Expe	nditures, FY 13	
Source		Amount	%			Amoun
Total		\$330,794	100%	Total		\$382,95
Rates & charges		\$305,913	92%	Admir	nistration	\$90,23
Property tax		\$0	0%	0 & M		\$165,08
Grants		\$0	0%	Capita	l Depreciation	\$62,72
Interest		\$1,090	0%	Debt		\$
Connection Fees		\$0	0%	Purch	ased Water	\$64,91
Reimbursements		\$13,284	4%	Other		\$
Assessments		\$42,735	13%			
Notes: (1) Rates include water- (2) Water use assumpti- comparison purposes.				ills. Assu	imed use levels are	consistent countywide for

(3) The District reported that it reviews rates annually, but only changes rates when needed.

continued

Water Service A	dequacy	, Efficiency & F	Planning Indicators
Water Planning	Description		Planning Horizon
Water Master Plan	2001		
UWMP	None, not requ	ired	
Capital Improvement Plan	Informal Distri	ct Plan updated 1/2013	
Emergency Response Plan	Updated 10/24	ł/12	
Water Quality Emergency			
Plan	Updated 12/20)12	NA
Service Challenges			
The District identified a challer		-	e flow and is in the process of
identifying options to maintain	-	t least 20 psi.	
Service Adequacy Indicator	S		
Connections/FTE	728	O&M Cost Ratio ¹	\$682,857
MGD Delivered/FTE	0.41	Distribution Loss Ra	ate 30%
Distribution Breaks & Leaks	4	Distribution Break I	Rate ² 36.4
Response Time Policy	ASAP	Response Time Actu	ual <1 day
Water Pressure	20 psi + Total Employees (FT		TEs) 0.5
Water Operator Certificatio	n		
The District employs a D1 certi	fied water man	ager. The District is requi	ired to have a D1 certified chief
operator; the District is meetin	g this requireme	ent.	
Drinking Water Quality Reg	ulatory Inforn	nation ³	
		Description	
Health Violations	/L	Exceeded Haloacetic Acid copper rule violation in 20	MCL in 2005, twice in 2006; lead and 006.
Monitoring Violations		nsufficient haloacetic acio nonitoring in 2004; and T	d and total trihalomethanes THM violation in 2004.
DW Compliance Rate ⁴	100%		
Notes:			
(1) Operations and maintenance cos	ts (exc. purchased	water, debt, depreciation) per	volume (mgd) delivered.

(3) Violations since 2000, as reported by the U.S. EPA Safe Drinking Water Information System.

(4) Drinking water compliance is percent of time in compliance with National Primary Drinking Water Regulations in 2012.

PARK AND RECREATION SERVICES

Nature and Extent

Pine Grove CSD owns and maintains one park. The district water/park manager maintains and manages the Pine Grove Community Park. Capital improvements are funded by income generated by the cellular tower rental agreement.

The District is not a member of the Amador County Recreation Agency (ACRA).

Location

Pine Grove Community Park is located within district bounds, on SR 88. It is adjacent to the Pine Grove CSD office. Residents and non-residents of the District can use the park free of charge.

Infrastructure

PGCSD key park infrastructure consists of a single neighborhood park of 1.1 acres. It has a playground, picnic tables, barbecue pits and a restroom. It is open 24 hours. The District identified the park as being in excellent condition.

Since the 2008 MSR, the District has upgraded the current park. The District added an additional restroom, a new line of trees and a hedge for visual and safety enhancements, new parking spaces and sidewalks, and pavement for walkways. The District continually upgrades and maintains Pine Grove Community Park as necessary.

The Amador County Regional Recreation Plan makes no recommendations for improvements or changes at the neighborhood park. The plan recommends that an additional park is needed in the Pine Grove community to provide a broader range of facilities and activities.

Service Adequacy

The District reported that it is able to maintain its park at adequate levels.

The District has a ratio of 1.6 acres of parkland per 1,000 residents. This is less than the current countywide parkland ratio of 13.7 acres per 1,000 residents.

Figure 21-4: Pine Grove CSD Park Profile

Park and Red	creation Se	rvice (Configuratio	n			
Service Configuration							
Park Maintenance	Direct	Number of	Parks Maintained	1			
Recreation	ecreation ACRA Number of Recreation Centers						
Service Adequacy FY 12							
Park Acres per 1,000 residents ¹ 1.6							
Adopted Policy: 1	3.7 acres per 1,000	population	, countywide ²				
Park Acreage		• •					
Local Open Space 0.0	Neighborhood P	arks 1	Undeveloped	0.0			
Special Use Areas 0.0	Community Par	ks 0.0	Landscaped	0.0			
Park Name	Location	(Condition	Acres			
Pine Grove Community Par	SR 88		Excellent	1.1			
Service Challenges							
The District did not identify a	any service challen	ges.					
Facility Needs/Deficiencie	es						
The District reported that the	e Park needs lightii	ng. Lighting	for the Pine Grove P	ark			
Towne Plaza has been ordere	ed, using a local fir	m.					
Facility Sharing							
The District did not identify f	facility sharing opp	ortunities.					
Developer Fees and Requi	irements						
Development Impact Fee	\$4,300/dwelling	g unit fee ch	arged by Amador Co	unty.			
Land Dedication Requiremen	it Five acres per 1,	000 resider	nts				
In-Lieu Fees	None						
Notes:							
(1) Developed park acreage per 1,(2) Amador County Recreation Age							
(2) Amador County Recreation Age							

SUMMARY OF DETERMINATIONS

Growth and population projections

- Service demand may increase with development, as many property owners have split their five-acre parcels into four separate lots. For planning purposes, PGCSD currently projects less than three percent growth annually to forecast service needs.
- The timing and amount of projected growth within the District will depend on build out of additional structures. Potential growth is currently based on planned developments. Planned developments totaling 294 dwelling units in five developments.

The Location and Characteristics of Disadvantaged Unincorporated Communities Within or Contiguous to the Agency's SOI

There are no disadvantaged unincorporated communities within or adjacent to the District's service area based upon mapping information provided by the State of California Department of Water Resources. However, given the large size of the defined community in the census data used, it cannot be discounted that a smaller community that meets the required income definition and has 12 or more registered voters may exist within or adjacent to the District.

Present and planned capacity of public facilities and adequacy of public services, including infrastructure needs and deficiencies

- The District's park is well maintained with no maintenance deficiencies. Since the 2008 MSR, the District has outfitted the park with upgrades including an additional restroom and landscaping. The District's ability to implement these upgrades demonstrates its commitment to providing high quality park service to citizens and visitors.
- The District's current developed parkland ratio to residents is less than the countywide average, however land use patterns and the near proximity of public and private open areas offsets this. Additional community park service needs will also be addressed by an ACRA-operated community park at some time in the future.
- Water infrastructure needs include improved water pressure for fire flow and replacement of undersized four and six-inch mains.
- The District has identified system improvements and made progress toward improving the system. It should also consider an overall assessment of the water system to determine the maximum capacity of the existing system and any necessary improvements or capacity expansions to accommodate the anticipated increase in demand.

Financial ability of agencies to provide services

The District is able to maintain financing sources which are sufficient to deliver adequate services.

Status of, and opportunities for, shared facilities

- Cooperative arrangements between PGCSD and AWA result in treatment and transmission of treated water through AWA facilities to citizens of Pine Grove CSD.
- No future opportunities for facility sharing were identified.

Accountability for community service needs, including governmental structure and operational efficiencies

- PGCSD has not had contested elections in recent years and Board members appear to be committed and responsive to the needs of the district and its citizens.
- The District conducts outreach efforts to inform constituents of ongoing issues through a regular newsletter, mailings with bills and a community website. However, it is recommended that PGCSD develop its own basic website or social networking site in order to keep constituents up to date on District happenings and make documents available. This online presence may be a more efficient way of posting meeting notices, agendas and meeting minutes.