Darlene Collins 10461 Mariposa Ave. Jackson, CA 95642

Home: 209-223-2301 • Work: 209-223-1680

amador\_ledger\_print@yahoo.com - darlene debbie@yahoo.com

Amador Land Use Commission Amador County Planning Department 810 Court St. Jackson, CA 95642 RECEIVED Certified NOV 2 1 2017

AMADOR COUNTY
PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Airport Land Use Commission,

My name is Darlene Collins and I own the property at 11020 Hwy 49, Martell, CA 95654, Assessment #044-030-002-000. I currently own the business Amador Ledger Print and contract with the US Postal Service for the Martell Post Office. My business has been in operation since 1989 at this location.

I recieved your letter regarding the meeting for Monday, December 18, 2017, for the Public Draft Update to the Westover Field Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan.

I have owned this property since 1986. The county did an amendment to the plan in 1990. At that time my property was designated in the Safety Zone 3 which is equavilant to the now Safety Zone 6. You are now proposing to redesignate my property to Safety Zone 2 which is very restrictive. I have to agree with Keith Jarrett who stated he bought the land and understood the rules at the time but does not want the rules to be changed on him now. After all these years to change my land use is not constitutional. I will seek legal assitance.

I want to make it clear that I strongly object to any more land use restrictions on my property. These properties that you want to change are Commercial and Manufacturing Industrial zoned and bring in revenue to the county. Making more restrictions will have a negative impact. It will have a negative impact on the value of my property and other properties. The airport is not expanding, I do not see the requirement to put my property in a different Safety Zone after all these years.

Also looking at your land use charts I see contradictions in them that I don't understand. Table 3-2 page 3-29 Personal Services (e.g., salons, beauty shops, print shops, gyms, car washes) incompatible under commercial. Yet other services is conditional. Than on page 3-30 Printing, Publishing is rated as conditional under Industrial.

Please send me the minutes of this meeting and past meetings.

lu D. Corr.

Sincerely,

Darlene Collins

## **Darlene Collins**

I have owned this property since 1986. The county did an amendment to the plan in 1990. At that time my property was designated in the Safety Zone 3 which is equivalent to the now Safety Zone 6. You are now proposing to redesignate my property to Safety Zone 2 which is very restrictive. I have to agree with Keith Jarrett who stated he bought the land and understood the rules at the time but does not want the rules to be changed on him now. After all these years to change my land use is not constitutional. I will seek legal assistance.

I want to make it clear that I strongly object to any more land use restrictions on my property. These properties that you want to change are Commercial and Manufacturing Industrial zoned and bring in revenue to the county. Making more restrictions will have a negative impact. It will have a negative impact on the value of my property and other properties. The airport is not expanding, I do not see the requirement to put my property in a different Safety Zone after all these years.

## Response

Please note that the policies in the ALUCP do not apply to existing land uses, but to potential future land uses not yet entitled or developed.

As discussed in the Draft ALUCP, the proposed safety zones were developed based on guidance provided in the California Airport Land Use Planning Handbook produced by Caltrans Division of Aeronautics. This guidance includes generic safety zones developed by Caltrans based on historic accident location patterns for different types of airports and account for specific runway and airport operating characteristics. Restriction on land use corresponds to an increased risk of aircraft accident in the area that falls within each safety zone and is intended to reduce the risk that aircraft accidents pose to people and property on the ground near airports.

Also note that Westover Field's Airport Master Plan does assume expansion in the future.

Also looking at your land use charts I see contradictions in them that I don't understand. Table.3-2 page 3-29 Personal Services (e.g., salons, beauty shops, print shops, gyms, car washes) incompatible under commercial. Yet other services is conditional. Than on page 3-30 Printing, Publishing is rated as conditional under Industrial.

## Response

The commenter appears to be referring to an earlier version of the Draft ALUCP. The current draft does not include "print shops" under personal services.