

## Objection to the Proposed Wireless Communication Tower at 17140 Valley Blvd, Jackson, CA

2 messages

JP Carino < ipcarino 87@gmail.com>

Tue, Jul 9, 2024 at 1:50 PM

To: "planning@amadorgov.org" <planning@amadorgov.org>

Dear Chairperson,

I hope this message finds you well. Please find attached my formal letter of objection regarding the proposed installation of a 130-foot-tall monopine wireless communication tower at 17140 Valley Blvd, Jackson, CA.

I appreciate your attention to this matter and look forward to your thoughtful consideration of the concerns raised in my letter.

Thank you for your time.

Best regards, JP Carino 17757 View Terrace Jackson, CA, 95642 jpcarino87@gmail.com 916-884-3565



Objection to the Proposed Wireless Communication Tower.pdf 45K

Tue, Jul 9, 2024 at 1:55 PM

Your comments have been received.

Thank you,

Amador County Planning Department 810 Court Street Jackson, CA 95642 (209) 223-6380 planning@amadorgov.org

[Quoted text hidden]

JP Carino 17757 View Terrace Jackson, CA, 95642 jpcarino87@gmail.com 916-884-3565

July 9, 2024

Amador County Planning Commission County Administration Center 810 Court Street Jackson, CA 95642

Subject: Objection to the Proposed Wireless Communication Tower at 17140 Valley Blvd, Jackson, CA

Dear Members of the Amador County Planning Commission,

I appreciate the opportunity to voice my concerns regarding the proposed installation of a 130-foot-tall monopine wireless communication tower at 17140 Valley Blvd, Jackson, CA. While I understand the necessity of enhancing our communication infrastructure, I urge the commission to reconsider this project based on several critical points that affect our community's well-being and integrity.

**Environmental Impact**: Despite the proposed mitigation measures, the installation of such a substantial structure presents unpredictable and potentially harmful effects on our local environment. The disruption of natural habitats and alteration of drainage patterns pose significant risks to our ecosystem. Preserving our natural surroundings should be our priority, ensuring any development aligns with sustainable environmental practices.

**Health and Safety Concerns**: There is ongoing public debate about the long-term health effects of exposure to electromagnetic fields (EMF) from wireless communication equipment. The presence of multiple antennas and a microwave dish in close proximity to residential areas raises serious health concerns. Additionally, the structural integrity of a 130-foot tower during extreme weather conditions could pose safety hazards to nearby properties and residents.

**Aesthetic and Property Value Impact**: The proposed tower, even with its monopine design, will significantly alter the visual landscape of our community. This change is likely to affect the aesthetic appeal of our area and could result in a decline in property values, as potential buyers might find the presence of a large communication tower unattractive. It is essential to consider the long-term implications on our community's character and property market.

**Noise and Traffic During Construction**: The construction phase will inevitably bring increased noise, dust, and traffic to our area, disrupting the daily lives of residents. Heavy machinery and construction vehicles on our neighborhood roads present safety hazards. Importantly, these roads are maintained by our taxes, yet the project will not contribute to their upkeep. This imbalance raises a fairness issue for our neighborhood and its paying constituents.

**Public Participation and Adequate Notice**: It is crucial that all affected residents are adequately informed and given ample time to prepare and voice their concerns. Ensuring broad public participation is essential to understanding the community's stance on this project. Transparent and inclusive communication with all stakeholders must be a priority.

In conclusion, while improving our communication infrastructure is important, it should not come at the expense of our environment, health, safety, property values, and community aesthetics. I strongly urge the commission to reconsider this project and explore alternative solutions that better align with our community's values and long-term well-being.

Thank you for your time and consideration. I look forward to a decision that respects and upholds the interests of our community.

Sincerely,

JP Carino